Nathasia Robertse's Work Sample 1	
Social Constructionism and Cross-Cultural cultural Communication (USA and Germany)	
Social Constructionism and Cross- Cultural Communication (OSA and Germany)	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	4
The World is your oyster	4
Cultural differences	9
Cultural differences on values dimensions	14
Additional means of understanding a culture	
Communication and language	16
Time and Time Consciousness	17
Sense of Self, Space and relationship	
Attitudes towards taboos and beliefs	17
Dress, Appearance and Presence	18
Status of Age	18
Mental Process and Learning	18
Life cycles, familial roles and autonomy	
Gender roles	19
What is normal?	21
METHODOLOGY	22
What Is Culture?	22
The Iceberg Model	25
Surface level: Observable cultural aspects	26
The subsurface level: Intermediate cultural aspects	27
Deep level: the invisible cultural aspects	28
The Onion Model	30
Layers of onion model	30
Lavals of onion model	21

	Nathasia Robertse's Work	. sampre 3
National and Supra-Nationa	al Cultures	34

-INTRODUCTION

The World is your Your oyster Oyster

In the Stern Report (2012), The World is Your Oyster is a phrase, which can be associated with many events, practices, and cultures. Some people view this phrase as a way of fuelling evils in the society. Other people view it as a way of refining one's behaviors, practices, and cultures. This study will support the use of this phrase given that it helps people from different cultures realize what is acceptable and what is not, acceptable in other societies. However, the study denies the proposition that one can just do and achieve everything he/she one needs on this earth. Just like the way all An oyster is known to easily absorb lethal substances and chemicals. In a similar way, are absorbed by the Oyster is the same way an individual from the American US culture should be ready to absorb bad and unacceptable cultural differences in communication. Likewise, when an individual from a-German culture comes to the US, he/she should be ready to understand and absorb all the unexpected aspects of cultural communication-aspects that may not be practiced in their-his/her culture. In the deeper context of this study, it can be seen that the world refers to a group of people with their own distinct cultures. Whenever one interacts with such people, both sides of the people-needs to understand that cultural communication is can never be the same. Therefore, thus every individual must be ready and willing to absorb anything bad that may be witnessed, felt or experienced during their communication.

Robert (2011) says that the phrase gives individuals the freedom to do and achieve what they desire without taking into account <u>its-the</u> consequences. In this regard, it can be seen that this phrase <u>ean-has the potential to become</u> the root cause of many evils <u>as-since</u> individuals will <u>be committing</u> the <u>evilsm</u> with the <u>content-knowledge</u> that the world and its people will take care of <u>its-the outcomeeonsequences</u>. In the context of cross-cultural communication, the phrase <u>can may be held responsible</u> for a good <u>portion-deal of the misunderstanding existent between the Americans and <u>the Germans</u>. It can also be said that the evils <u>resulting from the scant due to light</u> attention <u>paid given-to cultural differences can also tantamount to someone-make someone serve serving a <u>jail-prison</u> sentence. Therefore, this study prohibits its exaggerated use. The only way to achieve this is to establish a communication synergy that is acceptable <u>by-to-both</u> sides.</u></u>

Comment [Editor1]: Please keep all headings in title case. I have changed this one.

Comment [Editor2]: Should this be rephrased as In a similar way....American culture is ready to absorb the bad and the unacceptable cultural differences in communication?

Comment [Editor3]: Do you mean to say 'when a German'?

Comment [Editor4]: Do you mean to say 'negative' or 'derogatory' or 'unacceptable'? Is this not a relative term? What may be acceptable to one culture may not be to another. Consider the recent eating with your hands controversy. In one part of the world, it is a perfectly natural thing to do but in another, it violates basic etiquette.

This study feels that the world is your Oyster-oyster has been wrongly perceived, and needs to be revamped. This is a proposition is based on Jebro (2012), who says that the 21st -century world needs people, who ean beare responsible for their own actions instead of assuming that the future will take care of itself. Thus Therefore, instead of using the phrase from for its literal meaning, Jebro proposes an improvement on-to its useusage. -To bring out its literal meaning, the author refers to Oyster-oysters as a foodiefood items, which is are very delicious especially when taken consumed with brown bread and Guinness. To a For collectors, the author says that an Oyster is aoysters are treasures that provides exquisite pearls and can be regarded as the mothers of beauty. In-With regard to cross-cultural communication, these are very positive perceptions on the use of the phrase. On this note, it can be perceived that the world is full of diverse and interesting cultural nuances languages and cultures that need to be explored—and the freedom to-German and America are full of these cultural aspects that should be explored. Of course the freedom of explorationexplore, analysis analyze, and achievements through these cultures is are provided for in the saying. However, one question that we should ask ourselves is whether one can actually enjoy the beauty in a culture that is not their own. In most cases, it will be realized that individuals must adjust to be part of another culture.

Angelo (2012) considers Oysters as to be a "keystone species." This is a creature that is They are significant for to the survival of many others. They are like is like the key stones in an archway, which when taken away can lead to a collapse of the make the arch to collapse. The author argues that an Oyster being a large shellfish houses other creatures inside and around themit. In this regard, it ean may be said that be argued that culture is what holds a group of people together. People can be long to from different parts of the world, which in this study are refers to the USA and Germany. However, culture will always create a common condition for all of them such that, they will always see themselves as having one identity. Therefore, being careless of with regard to what what one says or does during an interaction process would will not be favorable. In fact, going by the proposition that the world is full of all the luxury that one would want, it is equally vital to understand that these good places to visit, in America or Germany, exists in a different cultural zone. Therefore, as a proper understanding of the cultures must be acquired hieved for a peaceful and joyful experiences. For instance, the world is your Oyster is commonly used to refer to the luxury that one has when he/she tours the world. This

Comment [Editor5]: Of this paper or Jebro? Please specify who is being referred to here.

Comment [Editor6]: Why just the good places within these countries? Why not the countries themselves? Please check and clarify

Comment [Editor7]: Please italicize this throughout the document since it is a key phrase in the paper.

implies that such people will be serviced by a group of employees <u>a majority of who can be from whose majority can be from a different cultures</u>. Therefore, there must elements of cross-cultural communication <u>must emerge</u> through social constructionism. -This will show that the individual understands the central role that <u>an</u> 'Oyster' plays in holding the members of a particular community or culture together.

The Stern Report (2012) further says that huge Oyster beds are essential habitats for creatures like barnacles, mussels, and anemones among others. The fact that Oysters supports the life of other organisms by providing them with habitats implies that the world is really our Oysteroyster. This is due to the fact that all of us depend on the earth with all that it has in it and around it. Natural creations like the sun, the moon, the air, vegetation, rocks, soil, and human beings among others add to the beauty and luxury that the world brings to mankind. However, it should never be perceived that the world can act as the depository site for all mankind's activities. -It has been noted that the world is changing undergoing rapid change due to-because of incessant human activity. The air, the sun, All the fresh air, the healthy sun's rays, and freshand water water are affected by undergoing rapid pollution and this enlarges the prospect of the world coming to a standstillthat in the near future, the world will be at a stand still. Life will be very threatened. On this note, individuals should never be encouraged to it should never be encouraged that individuals can just do things based on the freedom that the phrase gives-accords them. Instead, there should be high level of accountability on every activity undertaken in a social setting. This will make our social settings be eco-friendly. It means that for this earth to continue giving us its ecosystem's services, man must stop inappropriate and misled use of the phrase the earth is your Oyster. Instead, we should view the earth as the mother of all survival, which if treated badly then we will finally have no place to stay in peace and enjoy life.

With regard to cross cultural communication between the Germans and Americans, it is imperative to understand that the phrase the earth world is your Oyster oyster allows enables mankind to live and enjoys its beauty everywhere. Thus, for a sustainable future, the Americans and, the Germans and s well as other nations must work towards a common goal of making the world be a nice place to be in. If this is not achieved, then, the glue that theor the 'Oyster' oyster' uses to holding all these elements then together would will no longer exist there. Communication, like all natural creations should be attended to carefully Just like natural

Comment [Editor8]: Please make oyster

Comment [Editor9]: Repetitive intent

Nathasia Robertse's Work Sample | 7

ereations and features need attention and not careless use is the same way communication should be viewed. It may be seen that global warming is a concern that affects all countries in the world today. For instance, it can be seen that there are certain common environmental concerns like global warming that needs international approaches (Dirany (-2012) maintains that it needs the international community to come together to phrase a solution. However, this must first start with the bordering nations. In this study, these are America and Germany. For a common understanding on best practices to make the world be-a better good-place for all, there is a need to understand the cultural context and the content of the language being used. Thus, when the saying is constructively used in this manner, both Americans and Germans as well other parts of the world will have a reason to smile.

Apart from providing a habitat to many sea creatures, the Oyster oyster acts as the a food for several large fish and mammals. For instance, sea otters and walrus feed on it. In addition, Ooysters acts as the nature's free filtration systems. For instance, the Sshell fish, Molluses molluses; Mussels mussels, and Scallops suck sea water through a siphon and extract food particles (Stern Report, 2012). In most cases, these are sewerage, or garbage that has been washed down to the sea. Anything that also remains floating is sacked by the Oyster, which thus making the sea water very clear and in turn cleans the sea water. Based on the fact that Oyster the oyster acts as food to for these organisms, we can also apply that the phrase was used to show how dependent mankind is ondepends on the earth for his food. Therefore, it can be reasoned that being careless on food resources based on the saying's freedom, majority of philosophers support, will be very guided in the achievement of ultimate luxury from the earth.

While looking at the world, tThe Stern Report (2012) says maintains that we should all agree that familiarity yields breeds contempt. Thus, being familiar with what constitutes one's cultural setting and aspects is very significant. To some a little extent, familiarity may breeds complacency, and majority of the people on earth believe on in this proposition. The earth should ean be treated as a unique jewel of life. This study His study focused on familiarizing people with good fortunes of earth that support life. The earth goes around the sun and surrounded by a number of planets that keeps it in its track. It is placed at precise distance from the sun, which gives heat and light. It travels at the right speed, not too slow or too fast. Based these facts about

Comment [Editor10]: Do you mean to say 'nations that share borders'?

Comment [Editor11]: America and Germany are on two different continents. How can they be bordering nations? Please clarify

Comment [Editor12]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor13]: Do you mean to say sucked in?

Comment [Editor14]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor15]: This paragraph should be moved to lie below the part where it says that the oyster provides a habitat to many creatures.

Comment [Editor16]: Do you mean to say those aspects that support life?

Comment [Editor17]: The other planets have their own orbits, they do not surround the earth.

the earth, it can be seen that the phrase the world is your Oyster could be constructively be used to understand that the earth helps to shape up one's cultures and life. In his view, the aforementioned characteristics of the earth together with evolutionary features like water, air, and rocks formed firm base to support life. On this note it can be said that the phrase the world is your oyster was meant to be constructively applied for constructive application in understanding that similar to the ways in which the oyster beds offered the same way Oyster beds provide strong base for other animals to live, the world would likewise provide is the same way the world should provide a strong base for man's man to survivesurvival. The essence of a strong base should would therefore, be perceived on in the context of life life supporting features. Therefore, every mankind should would need to strive to answer whether his/her activities would strengthen or weaken the strong base that the world laid created to support life.

In a further study on the connection between similarities between the Oyster and the Earth, Robert (2011) says that the earth has a unique atmosphere, which protects us from the cosmic rays of from the sun. Similarly, This is the same way Othe oyster protects organisms by providing them with secured habitats. However, the author's concerns revolve around the Therefore, the author's concern is the rapidly diminishing unique atmosphererate at which the protection capacity of the unique atmosphere is diminishing and its capacity to protect. Thus hHuman beings as the only intelligent creatures need to understand that they will not go anywhere. Bearing this in mind, the saying the world is your oyster should—will take on a different perception hue. Instead of viewing the earth as being there for us to grab, exploit, destroy, use, and trash, Angelo (2012) opines that is of the view that it should be treated as is a precious and fragile jewel. In the context of fragility, it can be said that cross-communication conflicts ean may lead to unfriendly environments thus fuelling dangerous reactions that may just lower the value of the ecosystem's services. For instance, conflicts arising from cross-cultural misunderstandings can easily lead to explosive wars. Thus Therefore, it is imperative to there is need to employ the social constructionist approach in when dealing with such differences. With regard to the precious nature of Oysterthe oyster, it can be reasoned that we should not destroy the precious face of our-the earth. In fact, the author further asks why the earth should loose its precious features. In his view, people have a wrong understanding of the phrase got the wrong the implication of the world is your oyster, and this should be changedaltered. To a majority of

Comment [Editor18]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor19]: Do you mean to say these factors helped evolution?

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [Editor20]: Do you mean to say ultraviolet?

Formatted: Font: Italic

the people, the 'oyster' in the saying has been traditionally viewed as a food item and as a means of getting rich. Hence, people tend to perceive the earth as a source of resources to eat and get richexploit for wealth. To me, this is a direct interpretation that should not solely be considered in the light of today's world. In fact, it can be reasoned that this is an outdated perception of the saying, which eannot-is not applicable in a globalizing worldapply with current trends of globalization, __which isa phenomena that is _ the _a major contributor to cross-cultural experiences. Therefore, the phrase should be used to imply we should advocate for the use of the saying as a way medium through which social constructs can be understood and reconciled for a better future.

Cultural_dDifferences

In a globalized world, people from various cultural backgrounds come together for economic, educational, political, cultural, and social reasons. In the process, there is emergence of crosscultural differences emerge. Cables (2009) says-maintains that cross-communication skills help to-improve such global interactions. Based on this proposition, it can be seen that to-facilitateing our interactions with persons who do not share our values, assumptions, or learned ways of behaving requires new competencies and sensitivities. In this regard, the cultural differences form the resource base to enabling learnlearning about the new cultures. This is where social constructionism offers a new and innovative way to integrate the differences that emerge in cross-cultural communication. With regard to social constructionism, I will make comparisons and analyze the cross-cultural communication patterns of for the USA and Germany. This implies that I will not make any assumptions on regarding these patterns unless I understand how, when, and why they may be used. I will look atexamine the traditional ways of creating cultural synergy and then, look at creating cultural synergy from a social constructionist point of view. Many complexities of the communication process can be found in the following crosscultural behaviors and factors: listening, attribution, foreign language skill levels, body language, and gestures (Cables, 2009). In listening, Ting-Toomey and Chung (2005) say that some cultures prefer that a second person listens while the one talks. In some cultures, this does not apply as listening is not treated as a

good aspect of communication-eultures. However, for the purpose of this study, I will employ the

element of maximum listening during when a group of Britons and Americans interact to accurately identify some of the cross-communication differences between the two cultures. Studies show that acknowledging a person while talking is common across various cultures. However, this depends on what is being acknowledged. In some cultures, it is prohibited to givegiving credit to ill-informed statements is prohibited while in others, special conditions govern such instances. some cultures they are allowed but under special conditions. Moreover, studies have established that the way in which one is acknowledged during communication varies as some cultures prefergiven preferences to verbal and means, some prefer non-verbal means, and some preferor both. Therefore, based on these two concepts, I will focus on both, the content and the context of acknowledging a speaker in-both the American and British cultures. Once this note, I will-shall also employ this approach to encourage my speakers so as to get as much information as possible on cross-communication differences.

In a research like this, a high level of knowledge of foreign languages is it is very vital to have good level of foreign language skill. This often helps Good knowledge level on a foreign language also helps people from different cultural backgrounds communicate to one another till they reachand affect a common ground of understanding. In as much as this study will focus on how the Americans and the British people are endowed by one another's language, I will analyze how language incompetency has resulted in differences in cross-cultural communication differences, and how the concept of social constructionism can be used to improve their understanding during such communications.

-Cross-cultural communication behaviors or skills can be learned. The following skills have been identified by Ruben's research as being associated with effectiveness in a multicultural environment. Most of these are common sense but are often not demonstrated within one's own culture nor when dealing with a foreign culture——in this case, Germany and the USA. Once Finally, Holden (2001) says states that body language and gestures have distinct meanings in different cultures. This means that a person should not use a gesture or any form of body language before he/she gets to understand why, how, and why it is being used. On this note, the studies further established that sign language and gestures are gender gender sensitive in some cultures. Based on these facts, it is imperative for this study on cross-communication differences

Comment [Editor21]: Please check for clarity. Both use English which is different in intonation, words used etc. but how are they endowed by each other's linguistic abilities?

Comment [Editor22]: Please cite a year.

Comment [Editor23]: Please check for repetition and clarify if this should not be resorted to without understanding a foreign culture.

to understand the meaning of American and British gestures and other sign languages to enable me to bridge the gap that may arise from the social constructionist's point of view. Respect is part of effective cross-cultural communication. In his study, Holden (2001) notes that even if people from different cultures interact, they need to show respect towards one's each other's languages and cultures for continued interaction. The respect aspect of respect in this study is based on the fact that every culture has its rules and regulations, and each has aspects of respect this aspect incorporated in it. Usually, this aspect is achieved when involved parties have made make efforts to communicate and understand one another, and have comes to terms. -In achieving this, the parties comprehend their will understand their-cultural differences and decide to respect them, show tolerance to such cultural differences or ignore any negative outcome that may result from cross-cultural differences. In his view, Holden (2001) terms this a closemindedness and tolerance to other people's cultures. -Based on these facts, I will consider respect as the paramount aspect for the success of this study. I acknowledge that my cultural background is not the same as the population being studied study population. Hence, I need to should showexercise _much_tolerance towards certain ambiguities in the cross-communication analysis on-of the study population. Ambiguities will be treated as normal occurrences especially when two people from different cultural backgrounds interact. This tolerance on cross-communication ambiguity will also be done-undertaken foron the study population to reveal-understand their respective levels of tolerance.

Relating to people will be another aspect to be examined in the cross-communication differences. Starting with the researcher, I will first establish how each group of the population being studied study population relates to one another so that I don't deny this study an opportunity to achieve its goals and objectives. Hofstede (1977) says that different cultures have different ways of relating to people. In the process, various elements, such as gender, age, profession, setting, and language context and content are may be considered. The author establishesd that some cultures in the world do not allow a man to interact with a woman who is not his wife while some others do not have such boundaries. In some cultures, it is required that relating to a person of opposite gender is strongly based on religious values. In some cultures, there is an acceptable way in which a younger individual is supposed to address the older person. Language content and context are also determined by one's gender but these differs across various-cultures. Therefore,

Comment [Editor24]: Please check for clarity

prior understanding on of these elements in the context of this study will help me devise the best way of relating with to the population I shall study my study population. As a result, this will help the me to focus on how the same aspects of relationships, established in the past previous studies,—differs between the American and the German people given globalization that has contributed to cross border operation between the two nations.

French and Bell (2003) say that high reliability and validity of research findings depends on the level of of how the researcher remained non-judgmentality in the entire session of the study. On this note, I will remain neutral in when assessing the cross-communication differences that exist between the American and the German people. This means that I will not personalize any observation but use it appropriately—use it to develop a social constructionist view on—of the differences. It also means that a study like this needs an open mind that treats observations in their real contexts without favoring one side. It is a stage that does not require a researcher to be strongly determined to achieve what the research wanted top achieve but not empathies with the study population.

To create opportunities for US-German understanding and collaboration, people must learn not only the customs, courtesies, and business protocols of their counterparts; they must also understand the national character, management philosophies, and mindsets of the people. I will refer to make reference here to Geert Hofstede who identified five important dimensions of national character/national culture: power distance, uncertainty avoidance, individualism/collectivism, masculinity/femininity, and short—short-term/long—long-term orientation (Hofstede, 2001). Hofstede's conclusions and contributions are significant as detailed below-:

Power distance <u>Distance Index</u>: This is an aspect of national culture that refers to the extent to which people <u>placed lower in the power hierarchy of lower power levels</u> in an organization or <u>a</u> family expect and accept unequal distribution of power (Hofstede, 2001). In some national cultures, they endorse low power distance in which people expect and accept democratic and consultative power relations. In such cultures, people relate to one another in a more equal manner regardless of their positions in social institutions or organizations. The less powered individuals always feel free to contribute and even criticize the decision making process without

Comment [Editor25]: Please for clarity Comment [Editor26]: Ok? Comment [Editor27]: Please check for clarity Comment [Editor28]: Please cite the year. Comment [Editor29]: Make this consistent across all points mentioned here. Comment [Editor30]: Who endorses it? The culture?

any fear of those in higher positions. In contrast, high power distance nations, the subordinate people usually accept and expect paternalistic and autocratic power relations. Those in lower positions usually acknowledge the formal positions held by individuals in higher power hierarchies. Based on these two aspects of power distance, Hofstede says that the PDI (Power Distance Index) –does not show an objective difference in how power is distributed among individuals but reflects on the way people perceive such power differences.

-Individualism (IDV) Vs Collectivism—: This refers to "the degree to which individuals are integrated into groups" (Hofstede, 2001). Individualistic societies stress on individual rights and personal achievements. In such cultures, people are expected to stand up for themselves and their immediate members of the family and to select their own affiliations. In contrast to IDV, collectivist cultures expect individuals to act principally as members of a cohesive and lifelong organization of or group.

Uncertainty Avoidance Index (UAI)—: This refers to the degree to which a society can tolerate ambiguities and uncertaintyuncertainties. Various cultures reflect different levels of coping up with anxiety by reducing the levels of uncertainty. Some cultures have high uncertainty avoidance, and people from such cultures tend to be very emotional as—in an attempt of—to reducing—reduce the occurrence of unusual or unknown circumstances. They adopt stepwise and careful changes, which are planned and implemented through laws and regulations. On the other hand, people from cultures endorsing low uncertainty avoidance usually accept and feel much comfortable in changeable or unstructured conditions. Such people possibly attempt to have some—a few rules, and exhibit pragmatic characters that help them to be more tolerant to change.

Masculinity Vs Femininity. This refers to how emotional roles are distributed between the genders (Hofstede, 2001). In masculine cultures, a greater more value is given to assigned to assertiveness, competitiveness, power, materialism, and ambition. In feminine cultures, more greater value is given to quality of life and relationships. Masculine cultures tend to have dramatic and less flexibility in gender roles as compared to feminine cultures in which, both women and men have the same value in terms of modesty and caring.

Comment [Editor31]: Do these cultures endorse this?

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Long-term orientation Vs Short term orientation—: Hofstedes says that this was originally ealled termed "Confusion dynamism,", which reflects reflected the societies' time horizons (Hofstede, 2001). In the long-long-term oriented societies, the focus is on the future more focus is put on the future. They always employ pragmatic values that are focused towards rewards, such as saving, capacity for adaptation, and persistence.— In contrast, short term oriented societies usually promote values that relate to the present and the past. These include values, such are as steadiness, preservation of one's image, fulfilling social obligations, reciprocation, and respect for traditions.

Cultural differences on values dimensions

Studies have been-based on Hofstede's conclusions on regarding national cultures by considering national scores from '1,' which is the lowest toand '120,' which is the highest. This has yielded the findings of a comparative case study (research). In their case study, Hofstede and Minkov (2010) say that the power distance index score is very high among the Latin and Asian nations, the Arab world, and Africa. In contrast, the Germanic and Anglo nations have low power distance index scores but only for except for Denmark and Australia, which has have 18 and 11, respectively. The USA has 40 on the cultural scale. Comparatively, Guatemala shows a high power distance index of 95 and Israel having has a low value of 13. Thus, Hofstedes finally concludes that the USA is in the middle of lies in the center of the cultural scale. In Europe, Hofstedes establishes that the power distance index is lower in the northern nations and higher in the eastern and southern nations. For instance, Romania has a score of 90; Spain, 57 when compared to Sweden whose score is 31, and UK, 35.

With regard to the individualism index, Hofstede and Minkov (2010) say that there is a distinct gap between the western and the developed nations and the eastern and the less developed nations. Thus, his study categorizes Europe and North America as individualistic nations compared to Asia, Latin America, and Africa, which value collectivism. America also shows strong collectivism. In the context of the IDV index, a strong contrast emerges with Guatemala scoring 6 and the USA 91. However, the Arab world and Japan fall right in the center of the scale. in the middle.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Not Italic

Comment [Editor32]: Which societies?

Comment [Editor33]: Hyphenate this consistently.

Comment [Editor34]: Please check for consistency in heading styles.

Comment [Editor35]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor36]: Latin American?

Comment [Editor37]: Anglo Saxon?

Hofstede and Minkov (2010) further assert that uncertainty avoidance (UAV) is said to be the highest in the nations of the Far Eastern and Southern Europe nations like Japan and Germany speaking nations. It is also high in the Latin American countries nations. However, the Nordic, Anglo Saxon, and Chinese cultures have secure a low value on this. Based on the UAV scale, Germany secures a high UAV is in German with score of 65, while Belgium secures 94. Regardless of the proximity of these nations, these scores sharply contrast with Denmark's score of 23 and and Sweden's score of that has 23 and 29 respectively.

The Nordic countries show display low masculinity with countries like Sweden and Norway showing a scores of 8 and 5 respectively. I in contrast, to Japan, which has the highest masculinity score of 95 on the scale, together with other European nations like Austria, Switzerland and Hungary, which are said to be influenced by the German culture (Hofstede and Minkov, 2010). This means that Germany has a high high-masculinity culture. In the Anglo Saxon world, masculinity seems to be low with the UK scoring 66 on the cultural scale. However, studies show that the Latin American nations have present a distinctive contrast contrasting levels with Venezuela and Chile scoring 73 and 28, respectively.

High scores in the long-long-term orientation are also noticed in the East Asia. China scores 118, Japan, 88, and Hong Kong scores 96. –The Western and Eastern European nations has—have moderate scores on this here, while Africa, the Arab world, Latin America, and the Anglo Saxon countries show low scores on long term orientation.

Additional means of understanding a culture

Culture though, is a complex issue and debatable, <u>but</u> the following categories can be used to enhance <u>once-one's</u> understanding <u>on-of</u> this concept. These are Communication and Language, Time and Time Consciousness, Sense of Self, Space and <u>relationshipRelationship</u>, Attitudes towards <u>taboos—Taboos</u> and <u>beliefsBeliefs</u>, Dress, Appearance and Presence, Status of Age, Mental Process and Learning, Life <u>eyclesCycles</u>, <u>familial—Familial roles—Roles</u> and autonomy, and Gender roles. -These can be regarded as elements <u>in-of</u> our cultural dimension in <u>our day-to-day</u> interactions. When <u>these are</u> brought together, Hofstede and Minkov (2010) say that they interact to generate specific patterns of behaviors that are shared <u>among tby the</u> individuals.

Comment [Editor39]: Should this be in title case?

Comment [Editor40]: For the long term? Please clarify

Comment [Editor41]: Post a colon if the terms are to be capitalized, please make it consistent throughout the document.

These elements of culture also intersect with histories, one's experiences in life, and psychological patterns of every individual. Thus, sociologists argue that no individual can be pigeon-holed in his/her race, gender, or ethnicity.

Communication and language

This does not only considers written and spoken words but also, the non-verbal ways of communication, like the use of eyes, body, and hands (Banks and Banks, 2004). In the USA, English is the dominant language. However, many others in this society use different levels of the language. Others also use other languages apart from English in their day-to-day interactions. Banks and Banks (2004) say that language can be informal, technical, or technical based on the people involved and the prevailing conditions. In most cases, it is preferred preferable that we use technical language at work, informal language when addressing friends and family members, and formal language when speaking to the public. Instead of formal languages, some individuals feel comfortable to use using slang or dialects. Therefore, different cultures can be distinguished based on the rules they put-set while speaking. For instance, some cultures prefer that every individual waits for his/her turn, which is signified by a pause initiated by the current speaker. In contrast, individuals in other cultures do not need to wait for their turn. Instead, they incorporate support or verbal explanations in the entire <u>period of conversion-period</u>. -The manner in which stories are told is another aspect of language and culture. In some cultures, events are narrated in a very linear fashion while other cultures prefer such stories be told in sort of a circular manner wherein which some interesting comparisons and observations are interspersed. Body language, hand gestures, and eye contact are also influential aspects of understanding a given culture and language. Some cultures don't prefer eye contact since it is regarded as a sign of disrespect while other cultures values it as assigns of honesty. In some cultures, hands are kept close to the body while speaking and in others prefer to the use of hand gestures is preferred as a way of punctuating—their conversations. Engholm (1994) once earried—undertook a study, which established that direct eye contact is-was viewed as an intimidation tactic and disobedience among the Chinese while Americans preferred it as a sign of full attention, mutual understanding, and trust. The National Technical #Assistance Centre (2004) and the National

Comment [Editor42]: Please check for repetition.

Technical <u>assistance Assistance Centre</u> (2006) also established that the Vietnamese culture <u>does</u> <u>did not allow direct eye contact to be initiated when an individual spoke to talks to parents, a figure of authority, or teachers.</u>

Time and Time Consciousness

This reflects on the cultural attitude towards time in terms of being late, <u>punctual on time</u>, or early. Cole (1996) says that different cultures have different orientations towards time. In some cultures, appointments and schedules are given priority while in others the <u>preference is to preferred to concentrate</u> on what is happening at the moment. Thus, the latter <u>sets of cultures gives offer less priority</u> to future events. Besides, some cultures value punctuality given that lateness shows disrespect. However, other cultures do not bother <u>about whether someone</u> is late or not, thus, the <u>meeting time can be agreed meeting time can be approximated</u>.

Sense of Self, Space and relationship

The aspect of space is the acceptable proximity between individuals in a given culture. It reflects on the appropriateness of physical contact between two individuals (Dean, 1996). Some cultures view a shaking of hands as a personal action that should not be extended top strangers. In contrast, other cultures view it as a customary and a good way of greeting peoples. Besides, in some cultures, hugging and kissing are interpreted as formal ways of greetings and while in others, there may be a distinct uneasiness at this, feel very uncomfortable with it. -Moreover, the rules that are observed in various cultures on some form of physical contact being initiated can be based on one's gender or the kind of relationship existing between the parties involved. Moreover, such rules dictate how close people should stand when in-holding a conversation.

Attitudes towards taboos and beliefs

Various cultures have different attitudes towards doing things in contrast to the cultural standards. Some cultures have strong taboos against "telling on members of your group" to an outsider (Delpit, 2002). On this note, telling a complete stranger about about family issues, politics, sexuality, and religion are may be treated as a taboo. Another aspect is that of being direct. In some cultures, questions can never be asked in a personally personally-directed manner

Comment [Editor43]: So cultures and cultural standards are different?

while <u>in some cultures there may be a preference indicated for this prefer it</u>. However, it is more <u>of</u>-universal that people will <u>fell-feel</u> very uncomfortable when one breaks standards in their cultural norms.

Dress, Appearance and Presence

These grooming aspects reflect on-one's behavior and ean-may be used to differentiate one culture from the other. This entails elements, such as voice quality, laughter, style of dress, smile, gait, hair style, kind of cosmetics, and poise (Delpit, 1995). Presence entails eye contact and body posture. In some cultures, an individual's place is determined by whether or not his presence is acceptable his acceptable presence, and these acceptable standards changes with age within different cultural groups. Grooming styles also changes with one's culture. In as much as some cultures prefer good grooming as an appropriate way of life, it is also encouraged to-that they cover upcover up flaws. Besides, it is used to bring out a positive culture. However, others consider them inappropriate and bold.

Status of Age

Status of age explains how an-individuals should behave in an acceptable manner towards the their peers, those younger in age, and individuals and thethose who are older groups than them (Gay, 2000). In some cultures, respect is shown to all individuals regardless of age. In contrast, some cultures treat respect in a hierarchical fashion. On this note, the older the person, the more respected he is compared to a younger person who needs to are more respected compared to the younger individuals who must strive to earn a little respect from the older group.

Mental Process and Learning

These are the aspects of In all cultures these are aspects of education in all cultures. Different cultures have different views on the purpose of education, favorable types of learning, and the approaches used to learn in-both, at the the community and at home levels (Gormley, 1995). Some cultures view initial education as a way of preparing individuals for jobs while some views it-this as a way of preparing them for college. Some cultures also view educating a child as a-the sole responsibility of schools while some view it-this as a collective responsibility, both, of the-of

Comment [Editor44]: Please check for clarity. Whose presence?

both school and the family. Moreover, some cultures view education as <u>a</u> transfer of knowledge from experienced individuals to learners while others approach it in a manner that creates an environment in which beginners learn from more experienced groups.

Life cycles, familial roles and autonomy

This is a criterion that is used to define stages, transitions, and periods in <u>an</u> individual's life (Gay, 2000). It shows various levels of autonomy at different <u>stages of life-stages</u>. Different cultures have different views towards children, especially when they can be charged with adults' responsibilities. In <u>a</u> majority of cultures, the adolescents are viewed as older individuals, who are mature <u>enough</u> to be responsible for themselves as well as other family members. This brings us to what is referred to as familial roles (Gormley, 1995), which <u>refers to is</u> the belief about <u>surrounding</u> providing for and protecting the old, and the young. The age at which an individual is expected to show high standards of autonomy varies among cultures. Some cultures expect the mid-<u>to</u> late adolescents to move out of home to and start caring for themselves while some cultures allow an individual to live with his or her parents in <u>for their</u> the entire life span. Different cultures also show different standards in caring for the elderly family members. On this note, some cultures prefer old age homes and nursing institution to care for their elderly, while other take the elderly into their homes, <u>taking the old to their homes while in some cultures such elderly people are taken to care providers, i.e. nursing homes.</u>

Gender roles

This aspect refers to how an individual perceives, understands, and relates with the opposite gender. It reflects on the behavior that is acceptable toward the acceptable behaviors towards the opposite gender (Hearzth, 2008). In a majority of cultures, it is a common practice that there are distinct rules that governs the behaviors of boys and girls. In some cultures, these rules are easily noticed ean easily be noticed while in others, some canit can only be implicitly be learnt and understood while when in the a group. In many cultures, there is the belief that girls should be nice, reserved, and quiete while boys are permitted to be may be loud, aggressive, and assertive. Moreover, the expectations of from future roles can also influence the the behaviors of girls and

Comment [Editor45]: Please check for clarity

boys. For instance, girls in some cultures are made to know <u>beforehandin prior</u> that they will be married and remain at home to give birth to children while boys will go to school.

Based on the above<u>mentioned</u> additional aspects of culture, it <u>ean-may</u> be reasoned that a person who is sensitive to cultural differences, appreciates a people's distinctiveness and seeks to make allowances for such factors when communicating with <u>the</u> representatives of that cultural group (Webb et al., 2001). He or she avoids trying to impose his/her cultural attitudes and approaches. Thus, by respecting the cultural differences of others, we will not be labeled as ethnocentric. Cultural sensitivity <u>teaches us that should teach us</u> that culture and behavior are relative, and that we should be more tentative, and less absolute, in human interactions. -The first step in managing cross-cultural communication differences <u>effectivelyeffectively</u> is increasing one's general cultural awareness. Further, we should appreciate the impact of our specific cultural backgrounds on our own mindsets and behaviors, as well as those of colleagues and customers with whom we interact <u>in-at</u> the workplace. This takes on <u>a</u> special significance within a more diverse business environment.

Human response to cultural change and contact with differences, as the late Herman Kahn reminds us, can be constructive or pathological, non-violent or violent, and rational or irrational. Cultural exchange, Octavio Paz observed, requires experiencing the other and that is the essence of change. It alters our psyche, our outlook, and causes some loss of our own cultural beliefs. The paradox is that it may also stimulate a gain or an enlargement of one's owns perceptions and performance in the adoption of new cultural patterns. Culture, like biological, evolution demands adaptations for survival and development. Culture is a human product subject to alteration and improvement. We are therefore, discovering innovative ways to improve our performance. As we continue to unravel who we really are and to become more comfortable with our "selves," performance increases and potential begins then—our our

In the last few decades, an ever-increasing number of people find themselves moving between and among several or more cultures within a life span (Helms and Katsiyannis, 2002). They must continually update and broaden their understanding of culture and its impact on our lives. Reflecting on what is reality, what is the self, and what is good offers an effective way to

Comment [Editor46]: Who is referred to as

Comment [Editor47]: Please cite the year

Comment [Editor48]: Please cite the year

integrate cultural differences. Despite the cultural differences in communication patterns, social constructionism is a way to produce true cultural synergy.

As societies become more pluralistic, and cultures become more "open," people become more aware of both, the dissimilarities and similarities between themselves and others (French and Bell, 2003). They also demand the freedom to be themselves, regardless of the cultural context. Minorities of all types seek acceptance and tolerance, rather than discrimination and prejudice. Becoming more culturally-culturally-sensitive fosters a living environment in which internal dignity, as well as equity of treatment can co-exist. A sense of one's separateness, one's uniqueness, one's ethnic or racial background need not hamper an individual from becoming a multicultural cosmopolitan. Rather, it may enhance the contribution of a new infusion of diversity toward a common culture.

What is normal?

Rogoff (2003) says that cultural approaches to normality imply that the expectations and standards of a society is are met and observed. These include societal aspects that are associated with economy, social factors, and politics. In simple definition terms, what is normal implies is doing, saying, or thinking in a manner that honors the norms in that society. Thus, based on the above discussions of various cultural aspects specified above, it can be said that what is normal in the US-American culture is not what is normal in the German culture. This condition will require that an individual moving from one cultural setting to the other must exhibit much patience to learn the norms in that land else it can lead to a gross misunderstanding and poor relationships.

We should never assume any aspect of culture (Villegas and Lucas, 2002). Instead, a deeper analysis should be <u>done-undertaken</u> to establish their implications and roles. By assuming any cultural aspect, even this study will not achieve its goal. Thus, it can be seen that what is normal in my own culture may be quite abnormal in another culture. This necessitates <u>a</u> neutral approach in which a social researcher does not take sides or hasten to show his own cultural aspects. I will first establish what is normal within the two cultures <u>of-mentioned in</u> the study. On this note, I can appropriately and correctly know what is normal in another culture by exhausting all aspects

Comment [Editor49]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor50]: Please check for clarity

of cultures as discussed above. It means that a close analysis is needed necessary not only in of the explicit features of the cultures but also their implicit ones. With regard to the implicit cultural aspects, sociologists must first immerse themselves in such cultures, interact with its their people, and keenly extracts the normal aspects of their cultural norms.

METHODOLOGY

What Is Culture?

Literally, there are a number of definitions that ties to try to bring out the meaning of culture. Minkov (2007) says that cultures comprise language, beliefs, ideas, taboos, values, codes, techniques; rituals, and the world of arts, tools, symbols, and ceremonies, which has has played a critical role in the evolution of human beings. In this sense, a culture is what allows human beings to adapt to a particular environment, to their respective purposes instead of relying on natural selection mechanisms to attain adaptive success. Thus, a culture has no one single

definition as since every group of people adapts to such environments differently. However, it is a general composition of values, norms, and artifacts. Values can be regarded as ideas that should be considered important in life. Artifacts refer to things or materials in a given society, and norms are just expectations that people have in different situations.

Hofstede and Minkov (2010) views cultures as unseen forces behind communication behaviors yet a majority of people thinks that it—this is what influences our perceptions and exhibits communication behaviors. We perceive and exhibit communication behaviors. An individual's culture is what constitutes to—his self-identity, which unconsciously improves his pr—or her interpretation on—of events thus enabling decision making without making decisions without much struggle with one's inner-inner-self's feelings. Culture is not some-thing that one is born with but it is something that one learns and adapts to.—In most cases, it is passed on to other people through friends, teachers, the church, parents, schools, and work environments. This means that it is something that one acquires when he or she interacts with other people or with another environment.

According to Hofstede (1984), cultural norms are being influenced by values, traditions, and beliefs. This author also notes that a value is something that people have beliefve in. The belief can be a "wrong" one or a "right" one. When brought together with beliefs and traditions, it yields cultural norms, which refers to what we regard as "bad" and "good."- The authors agree with the view In the same view of this author, that culture should be understood due in terms of itsto its critical role in education. This is because the orientation of individual cultures must be experienced in every interaction. Thus, this brings to the fore, a enew definition of culture as something that may help individuals to interact effectively and gain a common ground of understanding. In most cases, it has been noticed that people make assumptions on one's culture based on very limited factors like ethnicity and race. However, in reality, it should be acknowledged that individuals' cultural identities are complex given that it weaves different group of cultures that in one way of another influence our lives. These facts-ean yield another definition that culture is not a linear concept in life thus and so cannot be understood from one direction only but should encompasses all the influences of one's life in terms of values, behaviors, and beliefs. In most cases, when people talk about culture, people tend to succumb to the assumptions that we are talking about national identities like: Native American, African

Comment [Editor51]: Ok?

Comment [Editor52]: Please check for clarity

American, Hispanics/Latino American, and Asian American. However, it should be understood that we are just members of cultural groups, which means that culture should be those aspects of life that are found in such national groups. The author further says that culture is something that is developed and is developing in a continuous process since every individual is exposed to different groups of beliefs and values. On this note, it can be reasoned that culture is not static. Thus, it is an aspect of life that requires an individual to be ready to learn and adjust to the way of life of other people. It also implies that culture is an aspect of life that needs time for one to be fully acquainted with. It is like an element that moulds someone into a new being in terms of personal perception towards life, behaviors, values, and beliefs. It can be reasoned that culture goes with identity.

According to Hofstede (2001), cultural identity is usually shaped by historical and cross-cultural perspectives, which interact with both, the interpersonal and psychological characteristics to ensure that all are present to improve learning. In another view, culture can be perceived as the elothingsartorial style, foodcuisines, music, and entertainment optionsholidays, which is are shared by a group of people. However, the author further notes that it means more than just these visible traditions. In his view, culture is perceived as a combination of attitudes, thoughts, values, feelings, behavior patterns, and beliefs that are shared by a particular group of people. These groups can be ethnic, racial, social, or religious in nature. However, it should be noted that culture is not basically to those individuals born within a given ethnic or racial group.

Willima (2005) in his view backs up prior definitions by asserting that culture is dynamic, and people usually move from one culture to the other. For instance, it should be understood that one can be born in a poor background, interacting with poor people. However, later in life such an individual may grow rich such that those who he or she interacts with a-re also from a wealthy backgroundrich class of people. Similarly, one can be born in a rural setting but develop_s-the interest to stay in an urban setting in the later stages of his or her development. In either case, the major elements of culture —will also change. Another shift in culture can be noticed when children are brought up in cultures where gender roles are pre_determined. However, when they enter the work force, they change their perceptions towards such cultural beliefs and make the right decisions between what is acceptable and achievable between by men and women in the society. College life can also change one's cultures in that some political beliefs with which he

Comment [Editor53]: Do you mean to say that it necessitates that one spend time getting fully acquainted with it?

Comment [Editor54]: Do you mean to say 'not basically restricted to those....'?

Comment [Editor55]: Is this William?

Comment [Editor56]: Do you mean to say 'perceptions of culture'?

or she was raised up withmay change changes due to the patterns of political practices in the college at our colleges. In fact, these are just a but a few of some the changes in one's culture. Culture can be influenced to suit one's life too. In essence, it is what makes one be unique. However, Willima says that this needs necessitates a broader view of this element of life. It needs broader and deeper consideration of class, gender, religious, physical, sexual orientation, and spiritual beliefs. By viewing these attributed attributes as interconnected elements of culture, it should be acknowledged that an individual cannot be described by a single label of cultural aspects. The definition of culture in this context should deeply focus on on the influential nature and implications of these elements rather than taking take them on literal ground.

Due to the dynamic nature of culture, Ailon (2008) says that culture must go along hand in hand with responsiveness. Responsiveness to culture refers to one's ability to learn from a group of people and relate with to them in a respectful manner. This can be a group from one's culture of or from a different culture. The process involves an adjustment of one's behaviors after learning something from the other cultures (Wilder, 2000). The process requires openness when thinking and experiencing (Wilder, 2000). However, it should be remembered that responsivity responsiveness in culture does not mean that one forgets his or her original culture and masters the new one-onee. Instead, it is a process that must be given time. It is also not about making another person look like the way you are but. In fact, instead it should be perceived as a process of open cultivation and acquiring acquisition of new skills (Ailon, 2008). This means that an individual must honor both, his or her culture as well as the culture of the other people. In summary, a culture has its rules that an individual should be—abided by. For instance, responsiveness to a culture requires that an individual should appreciate the existence of diverse values, develop self-self-awareness on existing cultures, oppose stereotyping, and should not impose his or her own values.

The Iceberg Model

Schwart (2003) says that the Iceberg model plays an important role in understanding cross-cultural differences. Thus, this study will also employ it in understanding cross-communication differences between the American and the German USA and German-cultures. In this current

Comment [Editor57]: Please check for clarity

study, it will help to improve the awareness levels on-regarding stereotypes, prejudices, and how to go beyond those. The diagrammatic presentation of this model shows only a-the tip of the-an iceberg-on the surface. However, just below the water surface is large body mass, which is hidden and invisible to those who don't understand the physics of buoyancy. Therefore, the Iceberg model reminds us that we should not only focus on visible part of the iceberg-on the surface but also to-be aware of the invisible part under the water-surface or-else we shall sink upon collision with itsink after colliding with it. The analogy in the Iceberg model will be appropriate in understanding how cultures differ from one another. -From the allegory of the what can be seen on a floating iceberg, we should understand that there some aspects of culture that are not visible to the eye. They are hidden such that until one bumps into-on-to them, one does not is when he or she can realize their existences. In this regard, tThis study will use this approach in the methodology section to understand both, the visible and invisible aspects of the USAmerican-German cultures. Most things that we may assume or know about a culture can be just stereotypes, which if used cannot explain what culture is. For instance, making an assumption that Americans eat large portions, are rude, eat burgers, and are stereotypes do not imply that this group of people exhibits these eulturestraits. We need to use the Iceberg model to further understand and establish what is exactly behind these actions, which should add to the definition of a culture. In fact, from the Iceberg's model, it should beis understood that in as much as these aspects constitute the American's or German's culture, they don't really define America as a whole given that every group of individuals in America or Germany have their independent sets of beliefs and values that they follow. Dealing with different groups of individuals in this manner can be depicted in the three levels of icebergs floating on water. Basically, the iceberg model considers three levels of culture: the visible ones, the ones just below the water surface, and the ones that exist at the bottom (the deepest level).

Surface level: Observable cultural aspects

Through the Iceberg's model, it should be understood that stereotypes, media, and prejudices do not necessarily reflect how a culture functions (Schwart, 2003). However, people may be blinded to misperceive them as on how a given culture actually functions by if they do not comprehend the getting some positive aspects associated with them. Therefore, the analysis through this

Comment [Editor58]: Use this instead of US or USA.

method will discourage the use of these elements to make conclusions about cross-cultural communication between the Germansy and the USA.

The subsurface level: Intermediate cultural aspects

The intermediate level that follows the visible part of the iceberg represents the phase wherewhere we interact with the people of that culture and establish the meanings that certain things imply (Schwart, 2003). This second level of cultural analysis attempts to understand how a given group of people usually perform certain duties in their daily lifelives. It is a stage that gives us the opportunity to improve our understanding of on how people's daily schedules of people are integrated in their cultures. In order to achieve best results atim this stage, it is necessary to interact with people of that particular culture. This means that I will interact with an acknowledgeable acknowledged people from both the US and Germany.

The second level of the Iceberg model describes certain cultural behaviors that need a little more time to comprehend as it-this involves much thinking (Schwart, 2003). In contrast to the surface level, the second level of analysis through this model entails behaviors that are observable but do not have obvious meanings thus therefore, need time to be interpreted. For instance, a study in Japan revealed that they have a sumo wrestler who throws a white substance into the ring (Skolowinsky, 2004). This cultural aspect can challenge many people in discerning its implication based on the fact that a majority of people may know that the only white substance of significance to Japanese is the white rice. If not given time to get-understand its implications, then, erroneous assumptions ean-may be made-that the wrestlers are throwing the white rice into the ring. In the study, it was finally established that the sumo wrestlers used salt and not rice for their purification rituals. In addition, greetings in Japan also need to be understood. needs time to be understood. Their responses are terminated by "chotto" that also terminates the other speaker from making any further attempt to continue with the dialogue. Through the use of intercultural communication students, the researchers later established that the use of "chotto" in the Japanese context could imply a violation of their norms. From the this case, it eould may be noticed that having been brought up in the American culture, someone that who you may have not seen for a very long time like the a Japanese friend, will often result in an American asking common

Comment [Editor59]: Do u mean to say a sample?

Comment [Editor60]: Does chotto mean 'excuse me'? The Japanese phrase 'chotto matte kudasai' would if literally translated mean please wait a while. questions rather than focusing on professional ones. Thus, the case informs us that we should never make assumption on "social equality." -

Deep level: the invisible cultural aspects

From the discussion above, it can be proved that the first two levels of the Iceberg represents represent the aspects of cultures that are not so-quitemuch hidden to-from people's knowledge (Schwart, 2003). In the revised version of Iceberg model, there is the a third level too. Initially, the Iceberg theory was represented by only two levels, what is seen on the surface and what is below the water level. The surface level represents the daily activities that can be physically observed and touched. This model was once applied in to the analyzing the Japanese culture. The observable and tangible activities witnessed in among the Japanese people of Japan were included taking off one's shoes—off, bowing, or—using the chopsticks during meals etc. (Skolowinsky, 2004). In fact, these are—were the observable activities that regardless of one's cultural background can—were very noticeable, be noticed. Even in other foreign countries, it is—was very obvious that anyone can—could see what is—was happening in the streets. Therefore, this should mark the first level of understanding a culture. It forms the base on which other levels of analysis are built.

The deep level culture comprises beliefs, values, and traditions of a culture (Schwart, 2003). This is level of iceberg the Iceberg model tries to describe what a given group of people in a culture are willing to do and what these that they are not willing to do through a set of established rules and regulations. In the context of this level of methodology, value determines what is a person from the that culture will be willing to tolerate and what will not be tolerated. Reactions to certain situations are also measured at this level of cultural analysis. For instance, an a monogamous individual may not be willing to tolerate interacting with polygamous individuals given that this may make him or her take perceive such relations as more valuable and sacred.

The third aspect of culture through the Iceberg model is that which cannot be seen. Usually, it is a part of culture that can never be assumed—and. This is considered the deep culture. One example that can be identified in-through Skolowinsky's study is the passive nature of Japanese. This aspect of culture also has its fair share of influences has also its influences. For instance,

Comment [Editor61]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor62]: Please check for clarity

Comment [Editor63]: Repetitive text

Comment [Editor64]: The Japanese people or the language or culture?

when most of the Native English speakers initially begin teaching in Japan, Skolowinsky (2004) says that they are unprepared due to a lack of feedback from the Japanese students. Thus, the American deep culture filter can interpret this as lack of interaction, dislike, incomprehension, or indifference. In as much as such Japanese passiveness in class can be interpreted by the Americans that way, the reality about Japanese culture has-poses a different proposition. In the study (Skolowinsky's study, (2004), it is learnt that the Japanese students are just abiding by their deep cultural norms that requires them to respect the authority. This can only be shown by not openly questioning their teacher or not-being emotional. In contrast, the Americans value social equality, which is exhibited in the manner in which open and direct communication₂₇ is encouraged. In Japan, more-higher value is given-accorded to a hierarchical treatment of social relations, which is are exhibited through the norms of governing silence. Therefore, it can be seen how that such cultural differences ean may create misunderstandings among the involved parties. In summary, it ean-may be very easy for an American to be confused while in Japan, based on the fact that their culture assumes that closeness and hierarchy are mutually exclusive, which is different from the way the Japanese extract the meaning from such hierarchical norms is different.

Based on the above cases, the Iceberg theory thus becomes the standard model for us to understand cross-cultural communication and its differences. However, just like any other metaphor, the model is an oversimplification. For instance, it is very obvious to know whether a shop attendant in Japan is present or absent by looking at the door of the shop (Skolowinsky, 2004). Based on past studies, it was established that a foreign sojourner ean—could_hardly understand some of the implications of what ean—could_be obviously observed. Thus, the study recommended that studies on the cultural aspects of a given group of people needs—needed to incorporate some people coming from that culture to help the researcher understand the meanings of behaviors in the deep cultures. In Japan, there is a short piece of clothe known as the "NOREN", which is normally hung outside a shop. This can happen in the presence or absence of an attendant. Thus Therefore, should a person from American visit the country, he or she may be looking to an open shop or a written sign that informs customers that the shop is closed. In the context of Japanese culture, studies notice that it is up to the person (reader/listener) to correctly interpret have the correct interpretation of the NOREN hung inside or outside the door

or entrance to the shopping place. This means that even the observable features of a culture can be interpreted differently or cannot be interpreted at all by <u>people a person coming from another coming from other cultures</u>. At this point, it can be agreed that the top part of the iceberg represents this situation.

There are different cultures across the whole world as well as within the same cultures. However, Skolowinsky (2004) advises that it is important to acknowledge that the Iceberg model helps us understand these differences through stepwise approaches. While using the Iceberg model, it is recommended that sociologists understand that different people have different backgrounds in terms of religion, environment, social ties, and family relations. Once these are factored in, it will be imperative to consider individuals from the same culture exhibiting different cultural aspects, which should not be a surprise during cross-cultural studies like the one exploring the Germans and US peoplethe Americans. In this regard, it will of great significance to consider the distinct levels of the Iceberg in developing better relationships with the people from that particular culture hence thereby, availing of the giving the opportunity to understand even the deepest cultural aspects and differences.

The Onion Model

Bunkowske (2002) developed "a cultural onion diagram" that <u>ean-could</u> be used to analyze and understand given sets of <u>a-cultures</u>. In the cultural onion, each person is represented with seven physical, spiritual, and mental layers. These layers are used to organize the reality in a person's life. The layers are holistic and interrelated, and operate <u>from-back and forthto firth</u> and <u>from forth to backvice versa</u> from the centre of the onion. Practically, an onion is made up of layers and one <u>cannon-cannot</u> tell <u>of</u> the quality or characteristic of <u>the inner layers</u> unless the overlying one is peeled. In the same way, understanding one's culture must go stepwise from one level to the next.

Layers of onion model

The seven layers in the <u>onion_Onion_model</u> are artifacts, behavior, feeling, values, beliefs, worldview, and the_ultimate allegiance (Bunkowske, 2002). Artifacts and behaviors form the outer layer of the cultural onion. These layers are immediate and apparent. <u>In-In-</u>depth linkages

are solely available as credible connections are made with the inner most layers, which are world view and ultimate allegiance in an individual's culture. In using the onion model to create these relationships, one needs a considerable amount of time and effort is needed. A person's physical attributes are termed Artifacts artifacts are the physical attributes of a person. For instance, it refers to objects or things that can be associated with such individual. In other words, it refers to what people gather. Behavior simply refers to what an individual does. Feelings refer to the emotional evaluations and conclusions about what people encounter with in day-to-day life. This is usually measured on a scale of love to hate, happy to sad, and calm to hungry among others. Values refer to mental evaluations and conclusions about an individual's experiences of daily life. This is also measured on a scale of good to bad. Beliefs refer to mental evaluations and conclusions on daily life based on a scale of true to false. World view refers to organized arrangement, the managing perceptions, and the internal gyro at the core of societal and human realityrealities. This aspect of the onion model provides a mental map of what an individual or group of people views and understands as real. Finally, the ultimate allegiance is regarded as the heartbeat, the trigger, the starting point, and the grounding reality that provides the basic direction in understanding the underlying meaning of personal stories. Worldview brings the cohesion-and, structure of mental mappings, and meta-narratives as well as perspectives in an individual's world view. Therefore, it can be seen that the seven layers of onion are used to understand the basic and observable cultural behaviors to-and the most complex ones that involve brain analysis. However, the layers give social researcher the opportunity to understand a culture in a more organized manner than by treating every element together.

Levels of onion model

Bunkowske, (2002) says that the seven layers of <u>the</u>onion discussed above can be further regarded in terms of three major structural levels. The levels integrate the cultural aspects right from the core of the onion to the external surface. Starting from the core, these levels are the foundational, the evaluating, and the actualizing level.

Foundational level- The foundational level of culture is regarded as the starting point for everything (Bunkowske, 2002). This level provides the an understanding of how individuals think the world should be. It gives the perceptual foundation and the mental mapping for the

Comment [Editor65]: Individually or collectively?

Comment [Editor66]: Please check for clarity

remaining_two remaining_levels of culture. On this note, it can be seen that researchers on studying_cultural differences need to focus on this aspect first so that they gain a clear understanding of the people in a given culture. Through this methodology, the researcher needs to treat it as the meta-story from which people move, live, and have their experiences. This level opens the way for the unspoken decision—making patterns and thoughts. The level is considered as the stage that triggers an individual's beliefs, feelings, behaviors, and values. It also triggers both, the physical and mental impulses for gathering and manipulating the artifacts. Based ion this fact, it can be seen that this level in the onion model explains why certain cultures have such observable cultural behaviors. The author uses a biblical text to support this level of onion model by quoting Proverbs 23:7, which says, "As a person thinks in his heart so he is." It implies that all the observable behaviors and way of life in a given culture originate from what such people thinks in their hearts. This foundational level, which is made of worldview and ultimate allegiance, is the most invisible aspect of culture that can only be implicitly understood. Thus, it cannot be immediately accessed and analyzed.

The Evaluating level- The evaluating level of culture offers an automatic system for examining and judging the experiences in one's life (Bunkowske, 2002). At this level of the onion model, an individual's ideas or cultural ideas are measured against the foundational mental mapping of the culture in worldview and ultimate allegiance. This is to establish whether such experiences are good, enjoyable, or true. The layers of evaluating level of a culture provide and develop secondary-programmed mapping patterns, which reflexively examined and negotiate the many significant decisions and conclusion of life. These secondary-programmed patterns, which are used to draw conclusions about individuals' beliefs, values, and feelings that comes from the mental mappings as well as the programmed evaluating patterns of the layers below then them in the cultural onion. Thus, feelings should be evaluated from values, values from beliefs, beliefs from world view, and worldview from ultimate allegiance. However, the evaluating level with its layers of beliefs, values, and feelings is not as deeply entrenched in the cultural onion compared to the foundational level of worldview and ultimate allegiance. Therefore, beliefs, values as well as feelings are not as implicit as the worldview and ultimate allegiance in the foundational level. In this regard, these three aspects of evaluating level are more accessible to researchers compared to the other two in terms of the core level cultural aspects. However, they are not

easily accessible for observation and manipulation as the actualizing level that contains behaviors and artifacts.

The actualizing model- This level of understanding a culture acts on and lives out the reality as well as the fundamental mappings and the perspectives about such reality through the actualizing and foundational layers of culture (Bunkowske, 2002). It means that implies that for one to understand the top most level of cultures, he or she must develop it from the core level through the middle one. The actualizing level refsponds to the fundamental mental mappings and perceived realities by actualizing them. This process of actualization usually happens in the external aspects of daily life. At this point, there is no choice for it to take place. Instead, actualization of previous levels semi automatically or automatically takes place in response to internal mental mapping, semi-automatically or automatically. In this regard, the functions of doing and gathering on a routine basis takes place as strong contact is made with the people or things in a given culture. However, researchers need to acknowledge that the results of such contacts with the people or things can be negative, positive, or neutral. This encompasses spiritual, mental, and physical dimensions of the contact. At this level of cultural understanding, the researcher needs to acknowledge that people will only show out-their choices when they interact with God, with godsGods, with one another, as well as with the world. This level is usual accessible as people within a particular culture come in contact with one another and objects. In this regard, people will tend to intentionally bring their culture into contact with other people's cultures in the same society. They can also carry such cultural aspects to other societies. Thus, the researcher is rendered with good opportunity to access and evaluate the observable interactions when individuals show what started in the foundational level.

Hofstedes (2010) in his revised model also talks of the "Onion Model of culture." The author reasons that culture is made up of three main layers around the core, and every researcher trying to understand culture can use this model. The Core refers to the values of a certain culture that are very dynamic. It stands for those aspects of cultural values that mostly remain the same. In this regard, a researcher must make use of history about a society so as to establish the types of cultures that have remained static over a period of time. This means that in conducting a cross-cultural study, a researcher will have to consider that some of the values that may seem outdated but can still play a role in influencing how such people live in the modern society.

The first layer around the core is regarded as the rituals (Hofstedes, 2010). *Rituals* can be regarded as a way of individual's hygiene. For instance, Asians take <u>a</u> bath in the evening while Americans take <u>a</u> birth-bath in the morning. Germans also like shaking hands more often as the Malay tenderly touch the finger tips and then, point it to the heart. However, studies show that such rituals undergo slow changes. The *Heroes* forms the second layer around the core of every culture. A hero can be afflictive individual but has influence on the culture. For instance, the publication of the novel, Dracula, developed among the Americans an innate fear of 2-s publication made Americans to develop fear about-Vampires, even though it was not witnessed in their culture before. Besides, the heroes' level can be represented by national heroes and scientists in photo models. In short, this level of culture reflects on those individuals who play agre role models in the society in which where they had-lived.

The third and the last level of understanding culture through the Oenion's model are is that of the Symbols (Hofstedes, 2010). In the modern society, it should be understood that a majority of symbols are used as brands. For instance, we have Louis Vuitton, BMW, and Apple. In his view, Hofstedes says that such symbols move with momentary fashion. Based on the three layers of Hofstede's onion Onion model, the author says that the layers can be trained and learned through practices exclusive of the core layer, which represents the inner cultural values. In his analysis, the various sets of inner cultural aspects are: dirty vs. clean, good vs. bad, unnatural vs. natural, irrational vs. rational, ugly vs. beautiful, abnormal vs. normal, and paradoxical vs. logical.

National and Supra-National Cultures

When dealing with issues of culture, it should be remembered that national cultures are different from supranational cultures (Cowan, 2000). National cultures, in the view of Worrel, Cross and Vandiver (2001) refer to only those cultures that have meaning and significance to one nation. However, when dealing with more than one nation, such cultures can no longer apply. Based on these propositions, there are political, economic, or social organizations that operate in only one nation while some operate across—the borders, i.e. the United Nations (Cowan, 2000). In both cases, it is very challenging to establish common organizational cultures that apply to all people since it is a combination of individuals from diverse cultural backgrounds (Worrel, Cross and Vandiver, 2001). In the context of multinational corporations or organizations, it is not even easy

Comment [Editor67]: Please check and make the name consistent.

Nathasia Robertse's Work Sample | 35

to get the right answers to how people should communicate with one another. Therefore, there is <u>a</u> need to harmonize such situations by first understanding the cultural backgrounds of employees in such organizations, followed by an understanding of the culture of the people to be served so that a synergy is established.

Formatted: Indent: Before: 0"

REFERENCES

Angelo, B. 2012. Idiom: the world is one's oyster. USA: Helwert Inc.

Ailon, G. 2008. Mirror, mirror on the wall: Culture's Consequences in a value test of its own design. *The Academy of Management Review*, 33(4):885–904. Tilburg University.

Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. (Eds.). 2004. *Handbook of Research on Multicultural Education* (2nd. Ed.). San Francisco:Jossey-Bass.

Bunkowske, E. 2002. "Cultural Onion Diagram" Theological Seminary. Outreach Ministry

Cowan, J. ed. 2000. *Macedonia: The Politics of Identity and Difference. Anthropology, Culture and Society*. London: Pluto.

Cables, J. 2009. Philippines: Easter Crucifixion Ritual of Cutud. Mississippi.

Cole, M.1996. *Cultural psychology: A once and future discipline*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Dean, C. 1996. Empowerment skills for family workers: The comprehensive curriculum of the New York State family development credential. New York, NY.

Dirany, N. 2012. Redefining luxury travel. The World is Your Oyster with U travel. U Travel.

Delpit, L. 2002. No kinda sense. In L. Delpit & J. K. Dowdy (Eds.) *The skin that we speak: Thoughts on language and culture in the classroom* (pp. 31-48). New York: New Press.

Delpit, L. 1995. Other people's children. New York: The New Press.

French, W.L. and Bell, C.H. 2003. Organization development. 4th ed. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Engholm, C. 1994. *Doing business in Asia's booming "China Triangle"*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.

Gay, G. 2000. *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice.* New York: Teachers College Press.

Gormley, W. 1995. Everybody's children. Washington, D.C.: The Brookings Institution.

Hofstede, G. 1977. Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind.

Holden, N. 2001, Cross-Cultural Management: A Knowledge Management Perspective. Financial Times Management.

Hearzth, S. B. 2008. Ways with words: Language, life, and work in communities and classrooms. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.

Helms, N. and Katsiyannis, A. 2002. "Counselors in elementary schools: Making it work for students with disabilities". *School Counselor*. 39 (3):34—76.

Hofstede, G. and Minkov, M. 2010. *Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind, 3rd ed.*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hofstede, G. 1984. *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values* (2nd ed.). Beverly Hills CA: sage-Sage Publications.

Hofstede, G. 2010. "Hosfstede's Onion Culture." *Developing a multilevel Understanding on Culture*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hofstede, G. 2001. *Culture's Consequences: comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.

Jebro, K.T. 2012. "The World is Your Oyseter". Women are more susceptible to anxiety and depression than men. The Mackay Women's Centre, USA.

Minkov, M. 2007. What makes us different and similar: A new interpretation of the World Values Survey and other cross-cultural data. Sofia, Bulgaria: Klasikay Stil Publishing House.

National Technical Assistance Center. 2004. Asian Culture Brief. Vietnam.

National Technical Assistance Center. 2006. Asian Culture Brief. China.

Rogoff, B. 2003. *The cultural nature of human development*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Robert, J. 2011. *INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS - THE WORLD IS YOUR OYSTER*. Department of Marketing and Management, Faculty of Business and Economics Macquarie University (Australia).

Stern Report. 2011. "The World is Your Oyster". *The Mirror in the 21st Century*. USA.

Schwart, S.2003. "The Iceberg Model". What is culture? Belis & Proton. Inc. USA.

Skolowinsky, H.R.2004. "The Iceberg Model" *Theoretical approach to multicultural understanding. Springs*.

Ting-Toomey, S. and Chung, L. C. 2005. *Understanding Intercultural Communication*. Los Angeles, CA: Roxbury Publishing Company.

Villegas, A. M. and Lucas, T. 2002. Preparing culturally responsive teachers: Rethinking the curriculum. *Journal of Teacher Education*, *53*(13).

Webb, M., et al. 2001. *Cultural sensitivity and responsiveness training*. Denver Juvenile Justice Integrated Treatment Network.

Wilder, M. 2000. Increasing African American teachers' presence in American schools voices of students who care. *Urban Education*, 35 (2).

Worrel, F., Cross, W.and Vandiver, B. 2001. Nigrescence theory: Current status and challenges for the future. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling & Development.* 29 (3).

Willima, W. 2005. Beyond Hofstede: Cultural applications for communication with Latin American. Association for Business Communication Annual Convention